

TABLE 9-4.
EVALUATION OF FUNDING METHODS

| Evaluation Criteria | Weight ^b | Funding Sources ^a | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | | River Improvement Fund | Flood Control District | Other Districts | Surface Water Utility | County Revenues | Developer Contributions |
| Equity | 3 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| Stability | 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| Control | 2 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| Adequacy | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Relatedness | 2 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 8 |
| Ease of Implementation | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Restrictions | 1 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| Acceptability | 3 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Legality | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| TOTAL^c | -- | 115 | 131 | 105 | 123 | 78 | 91 |
| OVERALL RANKING^d | | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 5 |

- a. Rating of funding sources: 10 = high rating, 1 = low rating
b. Weighting: 3 is most important; 1 is least important
c. Total equals the summation of each criteria rating times its weight.
d. Rankings: 1 is highest, 6 is lowest