

**YAKIMA VALLEY GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA ADVISORY COMMITTEE
 (GWAC)**

MEETING SUMMARY

Thursday, September 7, 2017 – 5:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.

*Yakima County Road Maintenance Conference Room
 1216 South 18th Street, Yakima, WA 98901*

Note: This document is only a summary of issues and actions of this meeting. It is not intended to be a transcription of the meeting, but an overview of points raised and responses from Yakima County and Groundwater Advisory Committee members. It may not fully represent the ideas discussed or opinions given. Examination of this document cannot equal or replace attendance.

I. Call to Order: This meeting was called to order at 5:03 PM by Vern Redifer, Facilitator.

Member	Seat	Present	Absent
Stuart Turner	Agronomist, Turner and Co.,	✓	
Chelsea Durfey			✓
Bud Rogers	Lower Valley Community Representative Position 1	✓	
Kathleen Rogers	Lower Valley Community Representative Position 1 (alternate)		✓
Patricia Newhouse	Lower Valley Community Representative Position 2	✓	
Sue Wedam	Lower Valley Community Representative Position 2 (alternate)	✓	
Doug Simpson	Irrigated Crop Producer		✓
Jean Mendoza	Friends of Toppenish Creek	✓	
Eric Anderson	Friends of Toppenish Creek (alternate)		✓
Jan Whitefoot	Concerned Citizens of the Yakama Reservation		✓
Jim Dyjak	Concerned Citizens of the Yakama Reservation (alternate)		✓
Steve George	Yakima County Farm Bureau	✓	
Frank Lyall	Yakima County Farm Bureau (alternate)		✓
Jason Sheehan	Yakima Dairy Federation	✓	
Dan DeGroot	Yakima Dairy Federation (alternate)	✓	
Ron Cowin	Roza-Sunnyside Joint Board of Control	✓	
	Roza-Sunnyside Joint Board of Control (alternate)		
Laurie Crowe	South Yakima Conservation District		✓

Rodney Heit	South Yakima Conservation District (alternate)		✓
John Van Wingerden III	Port of Sunnyside	✓	
Jay Decker	Port of Sunnyside (alternate)		✓
Rand Elliott	Yakima County Board of Commissioners	✓	
Vern Redifer	Yakima County Board of Commissioners (alternate)	✓	
Ryan Ibach	Yakima Health District		✓
Dr. Troy Peters	WSU Irrigated Agriculture Research and Extension Center		✓
Lucy Edmondson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	✓	
Nick Peak	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (alternate)		✓
Elizabeth Sanchey	Yakama Nation		✓
Stuart Crane	Yakama Nation (alternate)	✓	
Virginia "Ginny" Prest	WA Department of Agriculture	✓	
Gary Bahr	WA Department of Agriculture (alternate)	✓	
Andy Cervantes	WA Department of Health	✓	
Sheryl Howe	WA Department of Health (alternate)		✓
David Bowen	WA Department of Ecology	✓	
Sage Park	WA Department of Ecology (alternate)		✓
Lino Guerra	Hispanic Community Representative		✓
Rick Perez	Hispanic Community Representative (alternate)		✓
Jessica Black	Heritage University		✓
Matt Bachmann	USGS	✓	

13 **II. Welcome, Meeting Overview and Introductions:** Vern asked everyone to introduce
 14 themselves and then pause for a moment of silence. Vern acknowledged Ginny Prest’s
 15 retirement from WSDA and the group thanked her for her work on the GWAC. Vern
 16 reviewed the agenda and indicated it was his goal to complete the review of alternatives.
 17 There were no additions to the agenda.

18

19 **III. Continued Review and Discussion of Results of Survey Monkey on Alternative Land and**
 20 **Water Use Management Strategies for Reaching Program Goals and Objectives per WAC**
 21 **173-100-100(4):**

22

23 **The group agreed to keep these alternatives on the list for further review. (Green)**

24

- 25 **No. 172:** Revise WAC 246-203-130 so that it defines "health hazard" and "nuisance" and
26 includes specific and enforceable requirements designed to protect human health. *The*
27 *group agreed to delete the words: "health hazard" and "nuisance."*
- 28 **No. 27:** Assess groundwater contamination potential, making use of the available
29 information on soils, geology, and groundwater in order to identify those areas that are the
30 most vulnerable to contamination. These areas may be closer to surface water, areas
31 where recharge is faster or more frequent, or areas where shallow soils overlie soluble
32 bedrock. Identify strategies upstream of sensitive areas to reduce contributions of nitrate
33 sources.
- 34 **No. 24:** Overlay GIS density maps reflecting different sources of nitrogen in order to
35 geographically indicate the total density from all sources.
- 36 **No. 25:** Map those areas that can tolerate more nitrogen application and areas that are
37 more vulnerable to its application. *The group agreed to add at the end: "High risk vs. low*
38 *risk (from immediate impact perspective).*
- 39 **No. 107:** USGS Particle Tracking Model Overview--potentially combined with MT3D
40 MODFLOW application to the vadose Zone.
- 41 **No. 90:** Recommend that Western Fertilizer Handbook, Western Plant Health Association,
42 Ninth Edition (2002) be updated.
- 43 **No. 87:** Design and implement pilot studies focusing on innovative farm techniques which
44 reduce nitrogen loading to crops and monitor results for future expansion of findings. *The*
45 *group agreed to add at the end: WSU.*
- 46 **No. 83:** Building from the WSDA's Nitrogen Availability Assessment, develop a Nitrogen
47 Loading Assessment for all agricultural, residential and commercial properties, using newly
48 collected data. Hire a technical consultant to conduct a literature review to determine the
49 most relevant information and accurate factors for use in the Nitrogen Loading Assessment.
50 Periodically repeat the grower survey used in the Nitrogen Availability Assessment to
51 compare against the currently established data. Collect data on how many acres in the
52 GWMA are fertilized in various crops with manure and how many with commercial fertilizer.
53 Update and monitor the percentage of acreage in various crops, particularly silage corn and
54 field corn. Study effect of contribution of nitrogen from cover crops used to form mulch.
55 Determine acreage for triticale. Discover commercial fertilizer tonnage for Yakima County
56 and/or for GWMA. Explore how much nitrogen leaches into groundwater from drains and
57 wasteways. Study atmospheric deposition more comprehensively. Understand the
58 difference between plant uptake and plant removal of nitrogen.
- 59 **No. 89:** Recommend that WSU Extension Service update Appendices A and B of the
60 Washington Irrigation Guide.

- 61 **No. 92:** Develop Nitrogen Loading Assessment as provided in Research and Data Collection
62 above.
- 63 **No. 150:** Explore public investment in waste to energy technology. *The group agreed to*
64 *delete the word “public.”*
- 65 **No. 153:** Use commodity group "check off" money for research and development.
- 66 **No. 241:** Monitor changes occurring in agricultural operations because of efficiencies and
67 economics. Evaluate whether those changes positively affect improvement in groundwater
68 quality. *The group agreed to delete “because of efficiencies and economics” and add the*
69 *word “and” before the word evaluate.*
- 70 **No. 111:** Publish and distribute homeowner guide on how to use septic systems. *The group*
71 *agreed to change the word “use” to “maintain.”*
- 72 **No. 108:** Study potential nitrate contamination attributable to improperly operated septic
73 systems. Consider restoration/retrofit of older septic systems through incentives or county
74 property tax breaks.
- 75 **No. 129:** Request that the Yakima Health District prepare a plan, as required and described
76 by WAC 246-272A-0015, giving primary emphasis on educational programs for operation
77 and maintenance of existing on-site septic systems (OSS), reserving a determination
78 regarding the advisability of the establishment of regulatory or enforcement programs until
79 data is available from the GWMA’s monitoring well system.
- 80 **No. 143:** Make facility process improvements in waste treatment and food processing
81 plants to reduce nitrogen and total discharge volume.
- 82 **No. 144:** Replace aging sewer system infrastructure and ensure proper system
83 maintenance to reduce nitrate leaching.
- 84 **No. 134:** Request that the State Department of Health determine, prior to issuing or
85 reissuing LOSS permits, that all employee counts are regularly reported, so that the LOSS
86 will continue to operate as designed.
- 87 **No. 135:** Recommend that the State Department of Health consider not approving
88 additional LOSS or otherwise require an effective nitrate removal system.
- 89 **No. 42:** Consider limitation of septic systems (therefore building permit) where soil
90 filtration rate is high, where housing density is already big, where nitrate concentration is
91 already great downstream of the septic plume.
- 92 **No. 132:** Request the Yakima Health District to consider the nitrate density element when
93 approving proposed septic systems, including those technologies verified by the U.S. EPA's
94 Environmental Technology Verification Program, for reducing the nutrient nitrogen in
95 domestic wastewater discharged from OSS, including fixed film trickling filter biological

96 treatment, media filter biological treatment, and submerged attached-growth biological
97 treatment.

98 **No. 175:** Provide underlying soils information to each livestock operation so that individual
99 evaluations can be made. *The group agreed that this was part of the DNMP.*

100 **No. 183:** Anecdotal results of deep soil sampling carried out by SYCD with farmers with pre-
101 existing relationship with SYCD were informative. Word-of-mouth reporting within farmer
102 community greatly increased acres sampled. Establish a multi-year deep soil sampling
103 program where farmers subscribe for a duration with pre-determined fiscal remuneration
104 for completed sampling. Cost share with farmer. Farmer to provide checklist indicating
105 performance with BMPs. Test throughout growing year, in order to observe effects of
106 fertilization throughout year. Share data with public.

107 **No. 184:** Do deep soil sampling on fields within GWMA that apply biosolids.

108 **No. 185:** Make shallow (1, 2, 3 foot) soil testing reports prerequisites for funding, lending or
109 building permits.

110 **No. 99:** Put request for \$\$\$ for SYCD in State Conservation Commission budget.

111 **No. 146:** Identify and support opportunities, including educational research institutions, for
112 private, public, and industry investment in technology specific to addressing nitrate
113 contamination in groundwater.

114 **No. 167:** Incentivize technology and management of fertilizers and manures.

115 **No. 168:** Install separation systems--separate liquids from solids.

116 **No. 188:** Commission the creation of a data assembly software that could receive,
117 translate, assemble and analyze the data produced by agricultural equipment technology
118 manufactured by different agricultural equipment manufacturers, so as to permit
119 integration of data per field, crop or enterprise.

120 **No. 112:** Publish the Department of Ecology's lists of certified laboratories that can test
121 private wells for nitrates and pathogens and Ecology's providing funding to low income,
122 private well users, in order to conduct this testing.

123 **No. 2:** Pump-and-fertilize. Use existing (or new) agricultural water wells to remove nitrate-
124 contaminated groundwater and treat the water by using it to irrigate crops which will take
125 up the nitrogen concentration in the irrigation water (presumes the existence of a proper
126 nutrient management plan for the irrigated acreage).

127 **No. 244:** Recommend against farming around a water well.

128 **No. 247:** Consider costs of health risks to families from nitrate exposures, costs incurred by
129 growers and producers of various recommendations, costs of bottled water, costs to
130 connect to public water or sewer systems, cost for WSDA to monitor DNMP, costs of soil
131 sampling.

132 **No. 22:** Develop strategies for marketing the economic, fertilizer value, and soil enhancing
133 properties of appropriate application of manure and other livestock wastes.

134

135 **The group agreed to keep these on the list and consolidate them with similar alternatives.**

136

(Yellow)

137

138 **No. 26:** Use USGS particle tracking model to indicate where groundwater moves faster
139 (permeability).

140 **No. 104:** Develop water absorption graph or chart. List volumes of water applied, soil
141 types, absorption/compaction rates, depths to water, pre-season and post-season
142 appropriate moisture levels. *The group agreed to add at the end: "Holding capacity,
143 infiltration rate, evapotranspiration."*

144 **No. 239:** Monitor the timing of application of fertilizers to fields and how much water was
145 then applied.

146 **No. 149:** Require nitrogen reducing technologies for onsite septic systems if and where
147 appropriate.

148 **No. 141:** Assist hobby farmers to locate ROSS drain fields on their property so as to avoid
149 animal farming over the drain field. The group agreed to suggest this be a part of septic
150 maintenance literature).

151 **No. 169:** Use anaerobic digestion in waste storage lagoons. *The group agreed to add at the
152 end the word "bmp."*

153 **No. 246:** Refrain from tilling under herbaceous remnants of prior crops, reducing plant
154 nitrogen contributions to soil column. *The group agreed to add the word "bmp."*

155 **No. 177:** Prevent contaminants from flowing into wells by ensuring that the external areas
156 around well casings are properly sealed and that wastes are kept the recommended
157 distance from wells.

158

159 **The group agreed these alternatives should be removed from the list. (Red)**

160

161 **No. 234:** Require a synthetic fertilizer or other nutrient applicators license (approach taken
162 by pesticides, chemigation and fertigation) as condition to purchase nutrients.

163 **No. 237:** Place a small tax on sale of synthetic fertilizer in order to collect volume data.

164 **No. 105:** Use USGS Particle Tracking Model.

165 **No. 106:** Use USGS particulate tracking model to identify targets of education.

166 **No. 65:** Study report outreach: Show/Identify how much nitrogen is left after nutrient
167 uptake in crops.

- 168 **No. 84:** Get fertilizer loading numbers per crop type. Get economic engine factors per crop
169 type. Determine crop/fertilizer utility ratios. Consider economic benefit of various crop
170 type categories. Consider agricultural usage categories (e.g., field crop, row crop, vineyard,
171 orchard, dairy. Determine amount of land appropriate for each, and location best for each
172 given soil, climate, effect upon groundwater, etc. Ensure adequate supply of each in order
173 to permit opportunity of market choice.
- 174 **No. 91:** Fund professional adaptation of Utah Fertilizer Guide for Washington State
175 http://extension.usu.edu/files/publications/publication/AG_431.pdf
- 176 **No. 54:** Employ/enlist college students to conduct surveys, consider outreach
177 methodologies as part of classwork to assist with GWMA education.
- 178 **No. 88:** Explore whether nitrate leaching is greater with vetch amended soil or commercial
179 fertilizer amended soil. The results of one study indicate that vetch nitrogen, in comparison
180 to fertilizer nitrogen, leads to lower concentrations of soil inorganic nitrogen and greater
181 immobilization of added nitrogen in soil organic matter. This would reduce the potential for
182 nitrate leaching.
- 183 **No. 151:** Promote new products that are found through research.
- 184 **No. 152:** Promote markets for those products.
- 185 **No. 233:** Question sellers of synthetic fertilizers in order to learn their objectives, plans,
186 strategies, seeking to discover win/win opportunities.
- 187 **No. 243:** Investigate use of bio-char in lieu of nitrogen fertilizers.
- 188 **No. 110:** Require builders to demonstrate that septic system design will not add to nitrogen
189 loading problem as condition of construction.
- 190 **No. 43:** Property tax for properties with onsite septic systems, waived in the case of proper
191 inspection and pumping.
- 192 **No. 133:** Recommend that soil testing be performed below at least two ROSS drain fields
193 (one with a shallow water table, one with a deeper water table) in high density areas to
194 analyze nitrogen loads as the septage approaches the water table.
- 195 **No. 113:** Encourage an increase in the number and availability of soil testing laboratories.
- 196 **No. 186:** Hire soil scientists to do publicly funded "spot auditing" soil checks for feedback to
197 farmers and fertilizer sellers.
- 198 **No. 103:** Provide better funding and more staffing for Conservation District: hard money
199 funding, increase property tax assessment, create exceptions to taxation for demonstrated
200 testing and monitoring.
- 201 **No. 147:** AKART--industry can't keep up with technology, required if performance already
202 meets performance standards?

203 **No. 148:** AKART--industry can't keep up with technology, required if performance already
204 meets performance standards?

205 **No. 3:** Fill irrigation ditches with water and let it sit there to leak into groundwater. Use
206 groundwater recharge as a means to dilute nitrate concentrations in the groundwater.

207 **No. 9:** Remediate local nitrate contamination hotspots only.

208 **No. 245:** Intermittent fallowing (leaving lands dormant) to reduce both natural plant
209 nitrogen and fertilizer nitrogen additions to the soil.

210 **No. 6:** Blend better quality water with contaminated water to reduce nitrate
211 concentrations.

212

213 **The group agreed to revisit these alternatives at a later date. (Blue)**

214

215 **No. 162:** Increase funding for the local Conservation District and Natural Resources
216 Conservation Service (NRCS) so that assistance programs for nutrient management
217 planning, engineering, cost share, and loan funds are more available.

218 **No. 102:** Recommend funding for Southern Yakima Conservation District review of Dairy
219 Nutrient Management Plans.

220 **No. 98:** Fund post GWMA education and outreach through Conservation District.

221 **No. 97:** Ask SYCD for projected plan to expand fiscal and administrative capacity.

222 **No. 100:** Enhance engineering expertise (personnel) within Conservation District--none
223 there or at NRCS.

224

225 No. 131 was deleted.

226

227 **IV. Committee Business:** No meeting summaries were approved.

228

229 **V. Public Comment:** There was none. The meeting adjourned at 7:37 PM.

230

231 **VI. Next Meeting:** September 21, 2017.

232

233 **Next Steps:** None.

234

235 **VII. Meeting Summary** approved by the GWAC on September 21, 2017.